Council DA reference number	e Lot number	DP number	number		Suburb/Town	n Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
							1				•The proposed development increases the landscaped area by 8.30sqm to 11.30sqm or 5% of the site. •Locating the additions within the existing footprint has reduced the bulk and scale of the proposal. •Existing Open Private Space areas remain unchanged due to the proposed development. •The proposal will comply with the FSR and Sit coverage development standards. •Building orientation and separation remains "as is" and building presentation will be enhanced through incorporation of contemporary design, materials and finishes.			
DA/2020/1130 REV/2020/0035	6	9116 131508	40	Glover Street LILYFIELD NSW 2040 Milton Street ASHFIELD NSW 2131	LILYFIELD ASHFIELD	2040 2131		Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR3	Clause 4.3A(3)(a) – Landscaped Area Clause 4.3 Height of buildings	•The development proposal will provide a diverse and additional housing choice with superior amenity. Development satisfies objectives of development standard and zone	63.55% or 20sqm 42.4%	Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel	20/10/2021 12/10/2021
DA/2021/0094	1	220829	20	Beattie Street BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041		Leichhardt LEP 2013		Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio	Satisfies objectives of the zone and development standard	34.8sgm or 28%	Local Planning Panel	09/11/2021
							1				The proposed development will be compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale. The proposal will provide a suitable balance between landscaped areas and the built form as the proposal complies with the		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
DA/2021/0140	51	1272033	64	Terry Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.4 – Floor space ratio	landscaping and site coverage development standards as per the Leichhardt LEP 2013	7.86% or14.64sqm	Delegation to Staff	05/10/2021
DA/2021/0175	1	1119812	27	Percy Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	13	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Site Coverage	Existing variation to site coverage	3.4sqm or 4.23%	Local Planning Panel	09/11/2021
DA/2021/0257	12	50	42	Mullens Street BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A3(a) - Landscaped Area	 •The development provides for the housing needs of the community; •The development, as proposed and conditioned, provides housing that is compatible with the character, style, orientation and pattern of (existing and approved) surrounding buildings, streetscapes, works and Landscaped Areas; and •The development provides Landscaped Areas for the use and enjoyment of existing and future residents and does not result in any undue adverse amenity impacts. •The proposed non-compliances will not result in any undue adverse amenity impacts on adjoining sites and improved on-site amenity outcomes. •The proposal provides Landscaped Areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents; •The proposal maintains and encourages a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties and is compatible with the desired future character; •The proposal provides adequate retention and absorption of surface drainage water •on the site; •The proposal is of an acceptable density by way of complying with the Floor Space Ratio development standard, achieving compliant Landscaped Area if areas greater than 500mm above existing ground level could be included in the calculations, and a Site Coverage that is not out of character with the pattern of development in the street; and •The proposal provides a suitable balance between private open space and built form. 	100%	Local Planning Panel	12/10/2021
DA/2021/0305	1	127422	70	Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	ANNANDALE	2038	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A - Landscaped area	a)The proposal provides residential accommodation which is compatible with the character, pattern of development and streetscape of the neighbourhood. b)The relationship between the landscaped areas on the site and the built form will not change dramatically, and it is noted that the site coverage for the site remains unchanged and the soft landscaping at the front and middle of the site will not be reduced. c)The proposed development will maintain the existing permeable area of the site will not affect the underground flow of water. d)The proposed development will not affect the existing building footprint and complies with Council's controls for site coverage and the provision of private open space. Non-compliance is existing Non-compliance will not result in any undue adverse amenity impacts to neighbours.	33.80sqm or 46%	Local Planning Panel	12/10/2021
DA/2021/0304	В	23369	187	Young Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	ANNANDALE	2038		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	Development is consistent with similar developments	74.44% or 14.94sqm	Delegation to Staff	22/10/2021
DA/2021/0342	В	928973	343	Enmore Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204	MARRICKVILL	F 2204	1	Marrickville LEP 2011	IWDR2	Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio	The minor nature of the variation is acceptable as there are no detrimental environmental or amenity impacts. If the site was 1sqm larger the FSR would be compliant. The 2.07sqm variation is negligible.	2.07sqm or 1.1%	Delegation to Staff	12/11/2021
DA/2021/0342	7	5908	17	Crescent Street HABERFIELD NSW 2045	HABERFIELD			Ashfield LEP 2013		clause 6.6(3)(a)(ii) of ALEP 2013	House maintains single storey appearance from the street	144.6%	Local Planning Panel	09/11/2021
							2			(/ / / /	The proposal will provide adequate residential amenity for the occupants of the subject dwelling without any significant adverse		, and the second	
DA/2021/0428	15	3341	13	Kalgoorlie Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHARDT	2040	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	c.4.4 FSR	impacts to the amenity of surrounding properties. It achieves the objectives of the standard. •The proposal results in a compliant FSR and Landscaped Area. •The proposed site coverage is compatible with the desired future character of the area,	11.78%	Local Planning Panel	23/11/2021
DA/2021/0447	2	376394	20	Terry Street BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(b) – Site Coverage	 •The proposal is consistent in relation to building bulk, form and scale. •The proposed site coverage will result in acceptable amenity impacts to the surrounding properties. 	11.95% or 11.79sgm	Delegation to Staff	05/11/2021
	2	1248925	11	Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	ANNANDALE		1	Leichhardt LEP 2013		4.3A(3)(b) – Site Coverage	The non-compliance is an existing site condition, equating to 64% of the site area and 5.66sqm over the maximum, and the non-compliance is a minor variance from the existing condition by approximately 4sqm. Proposal does not result in any uncharacteristic scale, bulk or density Compliance with the standard would require removal of existing fabric The proposal mainly sits within the footprint of the existing house and the extent of site coverage remains similar Compliance with the development standard would unnecessarily impact the amenity of residents with no discernible planning benefit due to the small and irregular shape of the subject site.			11/10/2021
DA/2021/0451		1240920	111	Traialyal Street ANNANDALE INSW 2038	ANNANDALE	2038	1	Leicinalul LEP 2013	ואטאו	4.JA(J)(D) - Site Coverage	Whilst the proposal does result in a Site Coverage above the maximum standard the proposal is a positive adaptive reuse of a	13.62%, or 9.96sqm	Delegation to Staff	11/10/2027
							2				building, with an acceptable level of amenity for the future occupants and without any adverse impacts to the amenity of			
DA/2021/0499	100	1266174	26	Mackenzie Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHARDT		1	Leichhardt LEP 2013		cl4.3B -Site Coverage	The proposal complies with the site coverage development standard and there are sufficient opportunities to improve soft landscaping. The proposal is consistent with the R1 - General Residential zone objectives. The proposal is consistent with the objectives for the Landscaped Area development standard. The deficiency in landscaped area is minor in nature and does not impact on the site's contribution to the well-maintained landscaped setting of the locality.	28.65%	Local Planning Panel	12/10/2021
DA/2021/0466	110	1352	22	Fitzroy Avenue BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Landscaped Area	The proposal will not result in any undue adverse amenity impacts to surrounding properties.	8.98% (-5.34sqm)	Delegation to Staff	19/11/2021

											•⊑andscape Area = 0 m2 as existing but via removal of paving in the rear yard, will be increased to 16.46 m2 or 6.5% landscaping			
											area.			
											•The proposal does not involve removal of any vegetation;			
							1				•The given the general lack of impact arising from the additions and considerations of the non-compliance against the stated objectives of the R1 General Residential zone and Clause 4.3A objectives, requiring full compliance when there is no alteration to			
							'				existing levels of amenity and no adverse impacts to the local area, it is considered to be unreasonable in the circumstances.			
											•The development demonstrably improves upon the existing site conditions without detrimental impacts to the significance,			
											established setting of the building and results in acceptable impacts to adjoining properties.			
											•As noted above in the objective analysis, the breach of the standard allows a built form that is consistent with the desired future character of the surrounding area, particularly the buildings that are located on the adjoining properties or recent approvals in the			
DA/2021/0494	3	518773	155	Annandale Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	ANNANDALE	2038		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	area.	67.38% or 34sqm	Delegation to Staff	10/12/2021
												·		
											The existing lot is relatively small with the existing site coverage already exceeding the site coverage control at 71% (97.67m2),			
											above the 60% control;			
							_				The proposal results in an overall reduction of site coverage to 70.87% (97.24m2). In this instance, it is considered that the			
							1				improved site coverage, increased and compliant Landscaped Area and compliant level of FSR is a positive outcome for the site.			
											The proposal considered to satisfy the objectives of the R1 – General Residential zone and the Site Coverage development			
											standard, in accordance with Clause 4.6(4)(a)(ii) of the LLEP 2013; and,			
DA/2021/0539	1	198287	37	Gipps Street BIRCHGROVE NSW 2041	BIRCHGROVE	2041		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Site Coverage	The proposal does not result in any undue adverse amenity impacts to surrounding properties.	18.12% or 14.92sqm	Delegation to Staff	29/10/2021
											•The proposal has been amended to be consistent with the building footprint approved building envelope under development			
											consent DA/2020/0981 and the changes do not result in adverse visual bulk impacts when viewed from neighbouring properties. •The height of the development has been amended to be consistent with the maximum height approved under development			
							4				consent DA/2020/0981.			
,			1								•The additional gross floor area (GFA) being pursued at the upper levels relate to the approved townhouses and do not result in			
											increased density or further intensification of the site beyond the initial consent. Furthermore, the additional GFA being pursued at			
											this level does not result in adverse amenity impacts to neighbouring properties or the adjoining HCA. •The proposal is consistent with the desired future character as a medium density area and remains compatible with the approvals			
											on the surrounding sites at 33 smith Street and 27-29 Smith Street.			
MOD/2021/0265	53	499597	39	Smith Street SUMMER HILL NSW 2130	SUMMER HILL	2130		Ashfield LEP 2013	R3	Clause 4.4 - FSR	•The proposed changes improve the level amenity for future occupants.	15.73% or 100.173 sqm	Delegation to Staff	05/10/2021
							1				The proposed development will be compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and			
DA/2021/0569	1	772320		Cambridge Street ENMORE NSW 2042	ENMORE	2042		Marrickville LEP 2011		Clause 4.4 Floor space ratio	scale.	9.7% (16.6sqm)	Delegation to Staff	07/12/2021
DA/2021/0593	1	80589	21	Bruce Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013		4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	No change to existing landscaped area	100%	Delegation to Staff	18/11/2021
DA/2021/0589 DA/2021/0603	6	216857 708952	90	Woodlark Street ROZELLE NSW 2039 Short Street BIRCHGROVE NSW 2041	ROZELLE BIRCHGROVE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	-	4.3A Landscaped Area 4.3A(3)(a)(i) - Landscaped Area	No change to existing non-compliant landscaped area Not supported - No Clause 4.6 provided	37.45% or 12.6sqm 20.74% or 10.60m2	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	07/10/2021 14/10/2021
DA/2021/0003	3	700932	39	SHORT Street BINCHIGNOVE NSW 2041	BIRCHGROVE	2041	<u>'</u>	Leicillalut LLF 2013	IWDIXI	4.3A(3)(a)(i) - Lanuscapeu Area	- ''	20.7470 01 10.001112	Delegation to Stan	14/10/2021
											The proposed increase in GFA is wholly internal to the site and the existing building bulk and envelope will be maintained as a			
							11				lesuit.			
											The non-compliant FSR will not alter the existing building envelope and will maintain the presentation of the building to the public			
DA/2021/0610	21	601209	9	Jabez Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204	MARRICKVILLE	2204		Marrickville LEP 2011	IWDIN1	Clause 4.4 - Floor Space Ratio	domain and therefore will not directly impact on the amenity of adjoining and neighbouring land.	44.9sqm - 5.59%	Delegation to Staff	28/10/2021
											•The proposal will be consistent with the desired future character controls applicable to the site and the pattern of adjoining			
							1 4		1					
							1		1		development.			
							1				•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site.			
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site.	0.87% or 0.47sqm.	Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039		Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards.		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment:		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2,		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.8		Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
DA/2021/0635	41	1259431	9	Burt Street ROZELLE NSW 2039 Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	ROZELLE	2039	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013		Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) – Landscaped Area 4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2,		Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
	1 9		9 70 8				1		IWDR1		•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided	0.87% or 0.47sqm.		
DA/2021/0643	1 9	546028	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.8	0.87% or 0.47sqm.	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643	1 9	546028	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.8	0.87% or 0.47sqm.	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643	1 9	546028	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; OThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2 , 7.85% of site area. •The fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome. On the contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. •The proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided above the existing garage, althou	0.87% or 0.47sqm.	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643	1 9	546028	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1 1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl.4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area actual to such as a such as a	0.87% or 0.47sqm.	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643	1 9	546028	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN	2041	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oDipective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.8	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4%	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646	1 9	546028 3863	9 70 8	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT	2041 2040	1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl.4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area actual to such as a such as a	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643	1 9 8 89	546028	110	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT	2041 2040	1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(iii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl.4.6 of WLEP is a much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed area development standard is considered in landscaped area	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4%	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646	1 9 9 B 89	546028 3863 314183	110	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT	2041 2040 2130 2038	1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on d 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard, notivithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided above the existing garage, although n	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel	14/12/2021 14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646	1 9 B 89	546028 3863 314183	110 154	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT	2041 2040	1 1 1 1 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted cl 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome. The objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oObjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.58% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Fu	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel	14/12/2021 14/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656	1 9 B 89 1	546028 3863 314183 1908	110 154	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE	2041 2040 2130 2038	1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(iii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. OThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council (2020) NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: 'First, it should be noted cl.4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome. 'The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome. The objectives of the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard in on-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.65% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided above the existing garage, although not technically included in landscape area calculations, adds to the function of the private open space as a whole and the lands	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656 DA/2021/0659	1 9 B 89 1	546028 3863 314183 1908 927332	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 North Avenue LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE LEICHHARDT	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040	1 1 13 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2000	IWDR1 IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage Landscaped Area	•The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. •The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. •The fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexibile application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome of the contravention to the landscaped area development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome of the development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed welling house is consistent with the standard. •The proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area at the si	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89% 35.39%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021 13/10/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656	1 9 B 89 1 B 8A	546028 3863 314183 1908	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040	1 1 13	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. 'The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty L10 v Woollahra Municipal Council (2020) NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at (73) of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standards is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the divelling house met the objectives of the landscaped area acevelopment standard in an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided above the existing gange, although not technically included in landscape area calc	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656 DA/2021/0659	1 9 B 89 1 1 8A	546028 3863 314183 1908 927332	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 North Avenue LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE LEICHHARDT	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040	1 1 1 1 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2000	IWDR1 IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage Landscaped Area	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. 'The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; oThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2 , 7.85% of site area. oThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted c1.4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on c1.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome oThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. oThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard based on the following assessment: oDbjective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2 , 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.66m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provide	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89% 35.39%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021 13/10/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656 DA/2021/0659 DA/2021/0660	1 9 B 89 1 1 8A	546028 3863 314183 1908 927332	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 North Avenue LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Annandale Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE LEICHHARDT ANNANDALE	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040	1 1 13 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2000 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage Landscaped Area C.4.4 - FSR	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; OThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. OThe fundamental role of clause 4.8 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome. The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome OThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. OThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard is not the following assessment: ODijective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided abov	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89% 35.39% 9.67%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021 13/10/2021 20/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656 DA/2021/0660 DA/2021/0663	1 9 B 89 1 B 87 1 S A F 50	546028 3863 314183 1908 927332 1935	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 North Avenue LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Annandale Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 Collins Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE LEICHHARDT ANNANDALE ANNANDALE	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040 2038	1 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2000 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1 IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage Landscaped Area C.4.4 - FSR Site Coverage - Clause 4.3A	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. 'The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; OThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2 7.85% of site area. OThe fundamental role of clause 4.6 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woolhara Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl 4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome." The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome OThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the development standard is considered unreasonable and unnecessary because the proposed dwelling house is consistent with the objectives of the development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 2.0.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided above the existing garage, although not technically included in landscape area acliculations, adds to the function of the private ope	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89% 35.39% 9.67%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021 13/10/2021 20/12/2021
DA/2021/0643 DA/2021/0646 DA/2021/0655 DA/2021/0656 DA/2021/0659 DA/2021/0660	1 9 B 89 1 8A F 50 100	546028 3863 314183 1908 927332	110 154 9	Birchgrove Road BALMAIN NSW 2041 Albert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Prospect Road SUMMER HILL NSW 2130 Trafalgar Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038 North Avenue LEICHHARDT NSW 2040 Annandale Street ANNANDALE NSW 2038	BALMAIN LEICHHARDT SUMMER HILL ANNANDALE LEICHHARDT ANNANDALE	2041 2040 2130 2038 2040	1 1 1	Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Ashfield LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2013 Leichhardt LEP 2000 Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1 IWDR2 IWDR1 IWDR1 IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) - Landscaped Area cl.4.3A - LA Clause 4.1 - Minimum subdivision lot size Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage Landscaped Area C.4.4 - FSR	The Landscaped Area provision will not change the retention and absorption of existing surface drainage water on site. The proposal does not alter existing site density or building footprint and is compatible with the desired future character of the area in relation to building bulk, form and scale; OThe development proposal provides an increase of 4.86m2 in the level of landscaped area upon the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013, however presents a shortfall of 31.61m2, to the development standard, with the total landscape area on the site that meets the provisions of clause 4.3A (4)(b)(ii) of the Leichhardt LEP 2013 being 20.45m2, 7.85% of site area. OThe fundamental role of clause 4.8 in any local environmental plan is reflected in the recent decision of Commissioner Clay in SJD DB2 Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2020] NSWLEC 1112. In particular, Commissioner Clay notes at [73] of his decision that: "First, it should be noted of 4.6 of WLEP is as much a part of WLEP as the clauses with development standards. Planning is not other than orderly simply because there is reliance on cl.4.6 for an appropriate planning outcome. The objectives of clause 4.6 facilitate the flexible application of development standards to particular development in order to achieve an improved environmental planning outcome OThe contravention to the landscaped area development standard is acceptable in the circumstances of this case and compliance with the objectives of the development standard, notwithstanding non-compliance with the standard. OThe proposed alterations and additions to the dwelling house meet the objectives of the landscaped area development standard is not the following assessment: ODijective (a): The development proposal presents an increase in the level of existing landscaped area at the site from 15.59m2, 5.9% to 20.45m2 & 7.85% of site area, and increase of 4.86m2 of landscaped area. Furthermore, the additional 21.17m2 of landscaped area provided abov	91.05% or -47.4sqm 54.4% 106.35sqm or 21.27% 6.75sqm or 8.89% 35.39% 9.67%	Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Local Planning Panel Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021 14/12/2021 14/12/2021 17/12/2021 13/10/2021 20/12/2021

												Compliance with this standard would be unreasonable given the established pattern of similar additions			
												within the area.			
												 The proposed works will not create any significant corresponding negative impacts on any adjoining properties. 			
												2. The non-compliance with the Controls is minor.			
												A reduction in the FSR or site coverage by reducing first floor addition would not increase the landscaped area of the proposal.			
												4. The proposed scale and bulk of the proposal is generally in keeping with the bulk and scale of the existing adjoining and nearby approved buildings.			
												5. Compliance with the standard would limit improvement to the dwelling and reduce the ability to make			
								1				the existing dwelling a functional home. 6. The floor space ratio of 0.61:1 not only complies with the Council Controls but is 43.38m2 under the			
												allowable FSR of 0.8:1 (181.92m2).			
												7. The landscape area not only complies with the Council Controls but provides for an additional 5.9m2 in additional landscaped area above the minimum landscaped area required.			
												8. The proposal does not obstruct any views from adjoining residents.			
												The neighbouring residents will be protected from overlooking through the use of privacy screens, raised sill heights, and obscure glass to the first-floor windows.			
												10. The proposed works do not alter the front façade and streetscape character along Annandale Street.			
												The roof has been designed to be setback from the existing ridge; thereby the appearance of a single storey cottage is maintained. All new first floor works are setback behind the line of sight when viewed			
												from Annandale Street. 11.Due to the small nature of the site it is unreasonable for the site to comply with a control that restricts			
												any possible development to make the house suitable for modern day living requirements. The proposed			
DA/2021/0764	В	107665		297	Annandale Street ANNANDALE NSW 20	8 ANNAND	ALF 20	038	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(b) Site Coverage	alterations and additions could not be seen as excessive or large in size, but they do make the dwelling into a more pleasant and liveable space for the occupants.	5.46% or 7.45sgm	Delegation to Staff	21/12/2021
DF42021/0104		107000		201	AIIIIAIIIAA OITEEL AIVAANDALL NOW 20	O ANIVAIVE	ALL ZO		Ediciliardi EEI 2010	IWBICI	Oracio 4.0A (0)(b) one coverage	Existing site condition	0.40 % OF 7.4034III	Belegation to Stan	21/12/2021
								1				No bulk and scale impacts as a result of variation			
DA/2021/0785	20	318		₄₀	North Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHA	RDT 20	040	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A(3)(a) – Landscaped Area	Provision of more landscaped area comes at no planning benefit to residents	7.9%, or 4.94sqm	Delegation to Staff	03/11/2021
2. 1202 1107 00		10.0			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ZEIOI II IA			2010					2 Siogulion to Otali	55/11/2021
								1				•The proposal maintains and does not impact on the existing landscape Area and Open Space. •An existing raised garden bed with deep planting at the rear open space measures approximately 2.5sqm and is retained.			
												•The proposal is consistent with the objectives of the Landscaped Area standard; •The proposal does not involve removal of any vegetation;			
DA/2021/0792	1	435645		15	Coulon Street ROZELLE NSW 2039	ROZELLE	20	039	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A (3)(a)(i) - Landscaped Area	•There will be no adverse amenity outcomes to the site or adjoining sites as a result of the breach.	100.00% or 14.82sqm	Delegation to Staff	14/12/2021
												The development proposal complies with the site coverage of maximum 60%.			
												? The proposed pool and spa are hard landscape elements and as such are a positive contributor to rear landscaping of the			
												dwelling. While not permeable or soft landscaped area they do improve the amenity and usability of the private outdoor space making it a use and			
												space			
												suitable for the owners. ? The reduction in landscaped area only replaces existing turf and therefore does not reduce any large items such as trees or high			
												planting			
								1				and as such will not have adverse visual impact on neighbouring properties. ? The proposed pool is consistent with surrounding development including 9 and 15 Lonsdale Street which all have similar pools i			
												their			
												rear yards. These pools have been added in the last few years and therefore the proposed pool is compatible with the desired future			
												character of the locality.			
												? The development has no impact on the streetscape as it is located behind existing built fence. The proposal results in only a veri minor	/		
												infringement and still			
												? The proposal provides reasonable area of privacy planting and deep soil planting consistent with the intent of the LEP. Version: 1, Version Date: 15/12/2021 Document Set ID: 35647707			
												21 of 22			
												The applicant's written rational adequately demonstrates compliance with the development standard is unreasonable and unnecessary in the circumstances of			
DA/2021/0840	-	1258828	(Piper Street LILYFIELD NSW 2040	LILYFIELI		040	Leichhardt LEP 2013		Landscaped Area	the case, and that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.	3% or 2.3sqm	Delegation to Staff	15/12/2021
DA/2021/0880	25	1142	(38	Day Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204	MARRICK	(VILLE 22	204 1	Marrickville LEP 2011	IWDR2	Clause 4.4 - Floor Space Ratio	Minor variation, no amenity impacts, results as margin of error for survey	6.5%	Delegation to Staff	23/11/2021
												•The existing landscaped area is below the minimum of 20%, and the proposal does not involve any changes to the existing			
												landscaped area. The total landscaped area remains unchanged at 61.1sqm (17.7%). • The designing a development that compares to the neighbouring properties, the quality of the site, and the immediate surroundings			
												is considered acceptable to meet the objectives of the LEP.			
												• in designing a development that compares to the neighbouring buildings, the quality of the site, and the immediate surroundings improved.	5		
	1											•The proposal carefully considers all aspects of the LEP & DCP and the design solution will fit comfortably within its surroundings.			
			į l									•The proposal does not involve the removal of trees and is suitable for the use and enjoyment of residents. Site density and building footprint are acceptable.	9		
						I		1				•The landscaped area is compatible with the adjoining properties.			
						l		I				 The proposal has been designed to preserve the character of the surrounding area. Amenity to the site will not be compromised. 			
												•Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no			
												•Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area.			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the 			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: 			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: o(a) to provide landscaped areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents, o(b) to maintain and encourage a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties, 			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: o(a) to provide landscaped areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents, o(b) to maintain and encourage a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties, o(c) to ensure that development promotes the desired future character of the neighbourhood, 			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: o(a) to provide landscaped areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents, o(b) to maintain and encourage a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties, o(c) to ensure that development promotes the desired future character of the neighbourhood, Landscape has been calculated based on architectural DA drawings: oSite Area – 345.7sqm 			
												 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: o(a) to provide landscaped areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents, o(b) to maintain and encourage a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties, o(c) to ensure that development promotes the desired future character of the neighbourhood, Landscape has been calculated based on architectural DA drawings: oSite Area – 345.7sqm oExisting Landscaped Area – 61.1sqm (17.7%) 			
DA/2021/0924	17	1175540		17	Cove Street BIRCHGROVE NSW 2041	BIRCHGF	ROVE 20	041	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A – Landscaped Area	 Compliance with the standard is unreasonable as the existing landscaped area is smaller than the minimum 20%. There is no works to the existing rear of property, of which does not impact on the calculation area of the landscaped area. The proposal is consistent with objectives of Clause 4.3A of LEP 2013 relating to a minimum landscaped area of 20% as the development proposal, with a landscaped area of 17.7%, is sympathetic to the surrounding area as well as addressing the following: o(a) to provide landscaped areas that are suitable for substantial tree planting and for the use and enjoyment of residents, o(b) to maintain and encourage a landscaped corridor between adjoining properties, o(c) to ensure that development promotes the desired future character of the neighbourhood, Landscape has been calculated based on architectural DA drawings: oSite Area – 345.7sqm 	25.53%	Delegation to Staff	07/12/2021

					-								
DA/2021/1000	2	217948	111	Balmain Road LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHARDT 2040	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	4.3A Site Coverage	 It is considered that the proposed non-compliance with the site coverage percentage development control results in a design outcome that better achieved the desired outcome of the LLEP 2013 Cl.1.2 Aims of Plan, including: To ensure that development applies the principles of ecologically sustainable development; To minimise negative impacts of urban development on the natural, social, economic, physical and historical environment; To maintain and enhance the amenity derived from the built and natural environment by promoting a high standard of urban design in the public and private domain; To maintain and enhance Leichhardt's urban environment; To promote accessible and diverse housing types The proposed site coverage exceeded the permissible site coverage by 9.61sqm, however, we believe that non-compliance with the standard in this case should be considered reasonable for the following reasons: The proposed development does not result in any significant loss of amenity, privacy or sunlight to neighbouring properties The proposed development complies with LEP in FSR, height control and other relevant controls; The proposed development is consistent with the objectives of the site coverage controls, and the non-compliance is minor and it is within the delegated authority approval for variations. Considering the proposed development is single storey in nature, the non-compliance is reasonable in the circumstances of the case 	11.02% or 9.61sqm	Delegation to Staff	30/11/2021
DA/2021/1000		217948	1111	Balmain Road LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHARDT 2040		Leichnardt LEP 2013	IWDRI	4.3A Site Coverage		· ·	Delegation to Stair	30/11/2021
DA/2021/1003	A	915484	25	Hubert Street LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	LEICHHARDT 2040	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	R1	4.3A Site Coverage	 •The proposed works are compatible with the surrounding environment in bulk and scale. The proposed rear addition will not extend beyond the rear wall of the existing dwelling •Compliance is unreasonable or unnecessary as building footprint is similar to adjoining buildings to the immediate area. No impacts on adjoining properties •The proposed development is consistent with the objectives of the site coverage standard and is typical to other proposals to the immediate area. •The site coverage breach is only a minor variation of 2.74% and should be supported 	2.74% or 2.5sqm	Delegation to Staff	26/11/2021
DA/2021/1005	21	979498	38	Thomas Street BIRCHGROVE NSW 2041	BIRCHGROVE 2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Site Coverage	•Subject to conditions, the proposal does not result in any adverse unacceptable amenity impacts to the surrounding properties.		Delegation to Staff	20/12/2021
DA/2021/1011	1	919435	9	Tilba Avenue BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN 2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.4 – Floor Space Ratio		3.31% or 11.56sqm.	Delegation to Staff	03/12/2021
DA/2021/1015	1	176800	3	O'connor Street HABERFIELD NSW 2045	HABERFIELD 2045	1	Ashfield LEP 2013	IWDR2	Landscaped Area Clause 6.5(3)(d)	Improvement on existing variation	4.5%	Delegation to Staff	08/12/2021
DA/2021/1062	A	439846	60	Reynolds Street BALMAIN NSW 2041	BALMAIN 2041	1	Leichhardt LEP 2013	IWDR1	Clause 4.3A(3)(a)(i) – Landscaped area	 • Eandscaped areas are increased from nil to 8sqm. • The proposal complies with the site coverage development standard. • The proposed landscaped areas are suitable for the use and enjoyment of residents. • The proposal provides adequate landscaped areas and areas of private open space. • The proposal provides a suitable balance between landscaped areas and built form. • The proposal is compatible with the character, style, and pattern of surrounding development, streetscapes, works and landscaped areas • The proposal will have no adverse amenity impacts on surrounding properties. • The proposal provides landscaped areas for the use and enjoyment of existing and future residents. • The proposal enhances the amenity of existing and future residents and the neighbourhood. • The proposal provides for the housing needs of the community. 	54.26% (9.49sqm)	Delegation to Staff	29/11/2021
2. 4202 17 1002		.55510		Topicside edget B. Limit 11011 2011	2011				5.225 no (o/(a/(i) Landodaped died	proposition for the meaning means of the community.	525 /5 (5. 100qiii)	Dologation to otali	20,11/2021
	-	+ + -	-	+	+		+	+					+
		1 1						+					